

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,484	09/05/2003	Steven D. Jones	SUS01 P329	8700
277 75	90 01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
PRICE HENEVELD COOPER DEWITT & LITTON, LLP			KRAMER, DEVON C	
695 KENMOOI	R, S.E.		The same of the sa	B + DED > 1/2 / DED
P O BOX 2567			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49501			3683	

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/656,484	JONES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Devon C. Kramer	3683			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 22-26 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-16 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17,18,20 and 21 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the proper applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to be applicant may not request that any objected to be applicant may not request that any objected to be applicant may not request the objected to be applicant may not r	election requirement. c. cpted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is o	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/03. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

V

Art Unit: 3683

4

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1) Applicant's election without traverse of Group II claims 12-21 in the reply filed on 11/14/05 is acknowledged.
- 2) Claims 1-11 and 22-26 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/14/05.

Claim Objections

3) Claim 18 objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 18 line 2, "said camming element" should be –said cam element--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5) Claims 12, 15-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langanke (4268018) in view of Renfer (1938860).

In re claim 12, 19, Langanke teaches gas assist strut (18) and coupling member (figure 1a) for pivotally coupling an end of a gas assist strut to an associated mounting ball (148) comprising: a gas assist strut having a movable rod (20) extending therefrom, a body (142) coupled to an end of said rod having a spherical socket with a pair of slots

Application/Control Number: 10/656,484

Art Unit: 3683

4

communicating with said socket for receiving a generally C-shaped spring (845). Please note that the strut of Langanke contains a gas which acts partially on the piston.

Langanke lacks the cam arrangement.

Renfer teaches a body (26) including a recess configured to receive a cam (22) including a cam element and control handle (24), said cam nestably received within said recess of said body; and a generally C-shaped spring (18) positioned over said cam and engaging said body with said C-shaped spring including ends extending within said slots of said body for lockably engaging a tool (13), wherein said cam element when moved from a locking position to an unlocking position urges said ends of said spring at least partially out of said slots for releasing said body from an associated ball to decouple said strut from the associated ball.

It would have been obvious to have provided the strut end assembly of Langanke with the securing arrangement as taught by Renfer merely to provide a secure connection when the strut is in place and to allow for easy replacement or repair of the strut when needed.

In re claims 15-16, see figure 1 of Renfer.

6) Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langanke (4268018) in view of Renfer (1938860) and further in view of Pazdirek et al (6505989).

In re claims 13-14, both Langanke and Renfer lack the teaching of a polymeric housing.

Pazdirek et al teaches a polymeric housing for use in ball joints. (Col. 1 lines 10-12)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the housing of Langanke as modified by Renfer out of a polymeric material as taught by Pazdirek et al merely to reduce the weight of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

7) Claims 17-18 and 20-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

1

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

DK

DEVON C. KRAMER PATENT EXAMINER

Don R